Tragicomedy Summary

Tragicomedy is a <u>literary genre</u> that blends aspects of both <u>tragic</u> and <u>comic</u> forms. Most often seen in <u>dramatic literature</u>, the term can describe either a tragic play which contains enough comic elements to lighten the overall mood or a serious play with a happy ending. Tragicomedy, as its name implies, invokes the intended response of both the tragedy and the comedy in the audience, the former being a genre based on human suffering that invokes an accompanying <u>catharsis</u> and the latter being a genre intended to be humorous or amusing by inducing laughter.

There is no concise formal definition of tragicomedy from the <u>classical</u> age. It appears that the Greek philosopher <u>Aristotle</u> had something like the Renaissance meaning of the term (that is, a serious action with a happy ending) in mind when, in <u>Poetics</u>, he discusses tragedy with a dual ending. In this respect, a number of <u>Greek</u> and <u>Roman plays</u>, for instance <u>Alcestis</u>, may be called tragicomedies, though without any definite attributes outside of plot. The word itself originates with the Roman comic playwright <u>Plautus</u>, who coined the term somewhat facetiously in the prologue to his play <u>Amphitryon</u>. The character Mercury, sensing the indecorum of the inclusion of both kings and gods alongside servants in a comedy, declares that the play had better be a "tragicomoedia":

I will make it a mixture: let it be a tragicomedy. I don't think it would be appropriate to make it consistently a comedy, when there are kings and gods in it. What do you think? Since a slave also has a part in the play, I'll make it a tragicomedy...—Plautus, *Amphitryon* Plautus's comment had an arguably excessive impact on <u>Renaissance</u> aesthetic theory, which had largely transformed Aristotle's comments on drama into a rigid theory. For "rule mongers" (the term is <u>Giordano Bruno</u>'s), "mixed" works such as those mentioned above, more recent "romances" such as <u>Orlando Furioso</u>, and even <u>The Odyssey</u> were at best puzzles; at worst, mistakes. Two figures helped to elevate tragicomedy to the status of a regular genre, by which

is meant one with its own set of rigid rules. <u>Giovanni Battista Giraldi</u> Cinthio, in the midsixteenth century, both argued that the tragedy-with-comic-ending (*tragedia de lieto fin*) was most appropriate to modern times and produced his own examples of such plays. Even more important was <u>Giovanni Battista Guarini</u>. Guarini's <u>Il Pastor Fido</u>, published in 1590, provoked a fierce critical debate in which Guarini's spirited defense of generic innovation eventually carried the day. Guarini's tragicomedy offered modulated action that never drifted too far either to comedy or tragedy, mannered characters, and a pastoral setting. All three became staples of continental tragicomedy for a century and more.

In England, where practice ran ahead of theory, the situation was quite different. In the sixteenth century, "tragicomedy" meant the native sort of romantic play that violated the unities of time, place, and action, that glibly mixed high- and low-born characters, and that presented fantastic actions. These were the features <u>Philip Sidney</u> deplored in his complaint against the "mungrell Tragy-comedie" of the 1580s, and of which Shakespeare's <u>Polonius</u> offers famous testimony: "The best actors in the world, either for tragedy, comedy, history, pastoral, pastoral-comical, historical-pastoral, tragical-historical, tragical-comical-historical-pastoral, scene individuable, or poem unlimited: Seneca cannot be too heavy, nor Plautus too light. For the law of writ and the liberty, these are the only men." Some aspects of this romantic impulse remain even in the work of more sophisticated playwrights: <u>Shakespeare</u>'s last plays, which may well be called tragicomedies, have often been called romances.

By the early Stuart period, some English playwrights had absorbed the lessons of the Guarini controversy. John Fletcher's *The Faithful Shepherdess*, an adaptation of Guarini's play, was produced in 1608. In the printed edition, Fletcher offered an interesting definition of the term, worth quoting at length: "A tragi-comedie is not so called in respect of mirth and killing, but in respect it wants deaths, which is enough to make it no tragedy, yet brings some neere it, which is inough to make it no comedie." Fletcher's definition focuses primarily on events: a

play's genre is determined by whether or not people die in it, and in a secondary way on how close the action comes to a death. But, as Eugene Waith showed, the tragicomedy Fletcher developed in the next decade also had unifying stylistic features: sudden and unexpected revelations, outré plots, distant locales, and a persistent focus on elaborate, artificial rhetoric.

Some of Fletcher's contemporaries, notably <u>Philip Massinger</u> and <u>James Shirley</u>, wrote popular tragicomedies. <u>Richard Brome</u> also essayed the form, but with less success. And many of their contemporary writers, ranging from <u>John Ford</u> to <u>Lodowick Carlell</u> to Sir <u>Aston</u> <u>Cockayne</u>, made attempts in the genre.

Tragicomedy remained fairly popular up to the closing of the theaters in 1642, and Fletcher's works were popular in the Restoration as well. The old styles were cast aside as tastes changed in the eighteenth century; the "tragedy with a happy ending" eventually developed into <u>melodrama</u>, in which form it still flourishes.

Landgartha (1640) by <u>Henry Burnell</u>, the first play by an Irish playwright to be performed in an Irish theatre, was explicitly described by its author as a tragicomedy. Critical reaction to the play was universally hostile, partly it seems because the ending was neither happy nor unhappy. Burnell in his introduction to the printed edition of the play attacked his critics for their ignorance, pointing out that as they should know perfectly well, many plays are neither tragedy nor comedy, but "something between".

Criticism that developed after the Renaissance stressed the thematic and formal aspects of tragicomedy, rather than plot. <u>Gotthold Ephraim Lessing</u> defined it as a mixture of emotions in which "seriousness stimulates laughter, and pain pleasure." Tragicomedy's affinity with satire and "dark" comedy have suggested a tragicomic impulse in modern theatre with <u>Luigi</u> <u>Pirandello</u> who influenced many playwrights including Samuel Beckett and Tom Stoppard. Also it can be seen in <u>absurdist</u> drama. <u>Friedrich Dürrenmatt</u>, the Swiss dramatist,

suggested that tragicomedy was the inevitable genre for the twentieth century; he describes his play *The Visit* (1956) as a tragicomedy. Tragicomedy is a common genre in post-<u>World War</u> II British theatre, with authors as varied as <u>Samuel Beckett</u>, <u>Tom Stoppard</u>, <u>John Arden</u>, <u>Alan Ayckbourn</u> and <u>Harold Pinter</u> writing in this genre. <u>Vladimir Nabokov</u>'s postmodern fiction <u>Pale Fire</u> is a tragicomedy preoccupied with Elizabethan drama.